1 2 3 4 5	MATERN LAW GROUP, PC MATTHEW J. MATERN (SBN 159798) DALIA R. KHALILI (SBN 253840) MATTHEW W. GORDON (SBN 267971) VANESSA M. RODRIGUEZ (SBN 316382) 1230 Rosecrans Avenue, Suite 200 Manhattan Beach, CA 90266 Telephone: (310) 531-1900 Facsimile: (310) 531-1901	FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY DEC 2 1 2022 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT BY THE SUPERIOR COURT BY THE SUPERIOR COURT
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff KAROLINA TORREZ and the Certified Class	
8		
9 10 11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA—UNLIMITED JURISDICTION	
12		
13	DEL TACO WAGE AND HOUR CASES	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding Case No. JCCP004904
14	COORDINATION PROCEEDINGS SPECIAL TITLE RULE (3.550)	[Assigned for all purposes to
15	Included actions:	Hon. Evelio M. Grillo, Dept. 21]
16 17	Torrez v. Del Taco, LLC Case No. 21CV000111 Chavez, et al. v. Del Taco, LLC	[PROFESED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF KAROLINA TORREZ'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT
18	Riverside County Superior Court Case No. RIC1512246	Date: December 6, 2022
19 20	<i>Ramirez v. Del Taco, LLC</i> Case No. 19CV005228	Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept.: 21
20	Cabral v. Del Taco, LLC	RESERVATION ID: 625237717108
22	Case No. 21CV005224	Action Filed: March 2, 2016 Trial Date: None Set
23		
24		
25	1	
26		
27		
28		
		-1- KAROLINA TORREZ'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY TION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT

1	ORDER	
2	The Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Motion") filed	
3	by Plaintiff Karolina Torrez ("Plaintiff Torrez") in the above-captioned matter, Karolina Torrez v. Del	
4	Taco, LLC (Alameda Superior Court Case No. 21CV000111), came before this Court on December 6,	
5	2022 at 10:00 a.m. Having reviewed Plaintiff Torrez's Motion, the Declaration of Matthew J. Matern,	
6	and exhibits thereto, including the Stipulation of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Settlement" or	
7	"Agreement"), the Declaration of Plaintiff Karolina Torrez, the Declaration of Stacy Robson, the	
8	Declaration of Ruth Hollman, and all other papers submitted in support of and in opposition to the	
9	Motion, and for good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds and ORDERS as follows:	
10	1. All defined terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the	
11	Settlement.	
12	2. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the settlement memorialized in the	
13	greement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable, falls within the range of reasonableness, and	
14	therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval.	
15	3. The Court provisionally certifies, for settlement purposes only, the following Class:	
16 17	All persons employed by Del Taco in the State of California as a non- exempt employee at any time during the period from October 28, 2011 through November 12, 2021.	
18	4. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Class meets the requirements for	
19	certification under California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 in that: (1) the class is so numerous	
20	that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common to all Class	
21	Members which predominate over individualized issues; (3) Plaintiff Torrez's claims are typical of the	
22	claims of the Class Members; (4) Plaintiff Torrez and Plaintiff Torrez's counsel, Matern Law Group,	
23	PC, will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class; and (5) a class action is superior to	
24	other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.	
25	5. The Court hereby approves the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement.	
26	The parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to its terms.	
27	6. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes, Plaintiff Torrez as the Class	
28	Representative.	
	-2-	

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF KAROLINA TORREZ'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT 7. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes, Matern Law Group, PC as Class Counsel. Class Counsel is authorized to act on behalf of the Class with respect to all acts required by, or which may be given, pursuant to the Settlement, and such other acts reasonably necessary to finalize the Settlement and its terms. Any Class Member may enter an appearance through his or her own counsel at such Class Member's own expense. Any Class Member who does not enter an appearance or appear on his or her own behalf will be represented by Class Counsel.

8. The Court hereby preliminarily approves the Settlement and the Gross Settlement Amount of \$50,000,000.00. The Gross Settlement Amount shall cover all anticipated and unanticipated expenses associated with the Settlement including the following items: (1) Individual Settlement Payments to Participating Class Members; (2) the PAGA Settlement Amount, including PAGA Payments to PAGA Group Members; (3) the Class Representative Service Award; (4) the Named Plaintiff Service Awards; (5) the Attorneys' Fees and Costs; and (6) the Settlement Administration Costs. The Employer's Share of Payroll Taxes shall be paid separately from and in addition to the Gross Settlement Amount.

9. The Court finds that, on a preliminary basis, the Settlement appears to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable as to all potential Class Members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to liability and damages issues. It also appears that extensive and costly investigation, research, and court proceedings have been conducted so that counsel for the parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. It appears to the Court that settlement at this time will avoid substantial additional costs by all parties, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the lawsuit. It also appears that settlement has been reached as a result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive, arms-length negotiations.

10.The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Class Action and PAGASettlement ("Class Notice"), attached as Exhibit 1 to the Settlement. The Court finds that the noticeplan is the best means practicable under the circumstances for providing notice to Class Members, and,when completed, shall constitute due and sufficient notice of the class action, proposed settlement, and

-3-

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF KAROLINA TORREZ'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT the final approval hearing to all persons entitled to such notice, in full compliance with California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, California Civil Code § 1781, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable laws.

11. The Court appoints ILYM Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator. The Court hereby directs the Settlement Administrator to disseminate the approved Class Notice according to the procedures set forth in the Settlement.

12. Any Class Member may choose to be excluded from the Settlement as provided in the Settlement and Class Notice and by following the instructions for requesting exclusion. Any person who timely and properly requests to be excluded from the Settlement will not be bound by the Settlement or have any right to object, appeal, or comment thereon. Any Request for Exclusion must be signed by each such Class Member and must otherwise comply with the requirements delineated in the Class Notice. Class Members who have not requested exclusion by submitting a valid and timely request before the expiration of the Response Deadline shall be bound by all determinations of the Court, the Settlement, and the Judgment.

13. A Final Approval Hearing will be held before this Court on ______, 2023 at ______ in Department 21 of the Alameda Superior Court located at 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, California 94612, to determine all necessary matters concerning the Settlement, including whether the Settlement is fair, adequate, and reasonable and should be finally approved by the Court and whether a Judgment should be entered herein. At the same time, a hearing on Plaintiffs' Counsel's motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs and the requested Class Representative Service Award and Named Plaintiff Service Awards shall also be held.

14. Any Class Member may appear at the Final Approval Hearing and object to the Settlement or express his or her views regarding the Settlement, and may present evidence and file briefs or other papers that may be proper and relevant to the issues to be heard and determined by the Court as provided in the Class Notice.

15. The Court sets the following implementation schedule:

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF KAROLINA TORREZ'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT

-4-

	Deadline for Defendants to provide the Class	
	Information to the Settlement Administrator	(within 15 days after entry of Preliminary Approval Order)
	Deadline for Settlement Administrator to	, 2023
	mail the Notice Packet to Class Members	(within 15 days after receiving the Class Information from Del Taco)
	Deadline for Class Members to submit an	, 2023
	objection or Request for Exclusion ("Response Deadline")	(45 days after Notice Packet is mailed by the Settlement Administrator to Class Members) ¹
	Deadline for Plaintiff to file Motion for Final	, 2023
	Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement and Motion for Award of	
	Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Class Representative Service Award, and Named Plaintiff Service Awards	
	Final Approval Hearing	, 2023
		at
		s
16. Pending the Final Approval Hearing, all proceedings in this action, other than		
proceedings necessary to carry out or enforce the terms and conditions of the Settlement and this		
Order, are stayed.		
17. To facilitate administration of the Settlement pending final approval, the Court here		
enjoins all Class Members from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits or administrative proceeding		
	regarding claims released by the Settlement unl	ess and until such Class Members have submitted
requests for exclusion to the Administrator and the Response Deadline has elapsed.		
IT IS SO ORDERED.		
	DEC 2 1 2022	aufle
	1	HON. EVELIOM. GRILLO Judge of the Superior Court
	¹ Pursuant to the Settlement, Class Mem their Response Deadline extended by fifteen (1	bers who are sent a re-mailed Notice Packet shall 15) days. Settlement $\P 80(a)(ii)$.